# An Exploration of People Centred Governance Mechanisms for Biobanking and Genetic Research in the Indian Context

Division of Health and Humanities, St. John's Research Institute

## Investigators and Study Details

#### <u>PI</u>

#### Dr. Manjulika Vaz

Division of Health and Humanities, St. John's Research Institute

#### Co-Is

Dr. Susan Bull

Ethox Centre, University of Oxford

2. Dr. Calvin Wai-Loon Ho

Faculty of Law and Centre for Medical Ethics and Law, The University of Hong Kong

**Project Commencement:** October, 2019

**Grant:** Supported by the Wellcome Trust through the Global Forum for Bioethics in Research (GFBR)

**IEC:** Approved by IEC at SJNAHS [208/2019]

**Additional Clearance:** Approved by the Health Ministry's Screening Committee (HMSC) [2019]

RA: Prasanna Warrier

## Background

- Growing interest in biobanking and genetic research in India [1].
- Ethical issues in biobanking and genetic research impact its success and sustainability [2,3].
- Public and community engagement can ensure the maintenance of ethical protocol in the conduct of science and enable sustenance of research over the long term [4,5].

# Study Aim

• Develop a people-centred framework for the governance of biobanks involved in genetic research in India, through engagement with the public and other key stakeholders.

# Study Design

Sample (Purposive)

Potential influencers of public perceptions

Key stakeholders in biobanking and genetic research

#### Methods (Qualitative)

Data Collection: **Focus Group Discussions** (FGDs) and **In-depth Interviews** (IDIs) with potential influencers of public perceptions and key stakeholders respectively.

Data Analysis: **Thematic analysis** of transcripts using a combination of inductive and deductive coding to identify patterns emerging from the data using NVIVO and R software.

# Overview of Participants

Focus Group Participants					
	Number (M/F) Occupation / key area of expertise		Age range		
01	9 (7/2)	Public policy proponents	34-80 yrs		
02	7 (2/4)	Social activists 24-6			
03	8 (0/8)	Communications practitioners 25-			
04	6 (5/1)	Legal professionals - Data protection specialists 24-49			
05	6 (1/5)	Students - Masters and PhD Scholars 25-			
06	8 (4/4)	Patient interest organisation members			
	44 (19/24)	TOTAL NUMBER, AGE RANGE	24-80		

Interview Participants					
IDI01	44 yrs	Female	Cancer genomics (breast cancer) researcher		
IDI 02	33 yrs	Male	Cancer genomics researcher		
IDI 03	46 yrs	Male	Biobank manager / immunology -infectious diseases researcher / clinician		
IDI 04	30 yrs	Female	Rare disease patient		
IDI 05	32 yrs	Female	Population geneticist		
IDI 06	54 yrs	Female	Genetic counsellor		
IDI 07	68 yrs	Female	Clinician / IEC member		
07 (0	02/05)	TOTAL NUMBER (M/F)			

## Results

- Perceptions of biobanking and genetic research
- 2. Concerns about biobanking and genetic research
- 3. Expectations from biobanking and genetic research
- Ideas towards biobanking and genetic research governance

#### Framework for People-Centred Governance Values **Experiences Expectations** Trust Individual benefit Curiosity Transparency **Protections** Uncertainty Respect Public good Discrimination Engagement Dignity Scientific progress **Exploitation Negotiating Limits** Rights and Restrictions (data access and use) Agency and Accountability (redressal mechanisms) Roles and Responsibilities (inclusive oversight) Openness and Outcomes (sharing study findings)

## Conclusions

- Engagement facilitates negotiation and enables participants to become partners in biobanking and genetic research.
- 2. Negotiation is the process through scientific principles and societal values converge at an equilibrium of governance.
- 3. Engagement enhances public trust and ensures the sustainability of biobanking and genetic research in the long term. Therefore, it should not be seen as an obstacle.

## **Related Publications**

- 1. Barbosa S, Paré Toé L, Thizy D, et al.: **Engagement and social acceptance in genome editing for human benefit: Reflections on research and practice in a global context** [version 1; peer review: 1 approved]. Wellcome Open Res. 2020; 5: 244.
- 2. Warrier P, Ho CWL, Bull S et al. **Engaging publics in biobanking and genetic research governance a literature review towards informing practice in India** [version 2; peer review: 1 approved]. Wellcome Open Res 2021, 6:5

### References

- 1. Chakrabarty S, Kabekkodu SP, Brand A, et al.: Perspectives on Translational Genomics and Public Health in India. Public Health Genomics. 2016; 19(2): 61–8.
- 2. Vaz M, Vaz M, Srinivasan K: Ethical challenges in biobanking: moving the agenda forward in India. Indian J Med Ethics. 2014; 11(2): 79–88.
- 3. Vaz M, Vaz M, Srinivasan K: Listening to the voices of the general public in India on biomedical research -- an exploratory study. Indian J Med Ethics. 2015; 12(2): 68–77.
- 4. Domaradzki J, Pawlikowski J: Public Attitudes toward Biobanking of Human Biological Material for Research Purposes: A Literature Review. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2019; 16(12): 2209.
- 5. Lemke AA, Harris-Wai JN: Stakeholder engagement in policy development: challenges and opportunities for human genomics. Genet Med. 2015; 17(12): 949–57.